arXiv:0804.4748v3 [math.QA] 14 Mar 2009

BATALIN-VILKOVISKY COALGEBRA OF STRING TOPOLOGY

XIAOJUN CHEN AND WEE LIANG GAN

Abstract. We show that the reduced Hochschild homology of a DG open Frobenius algebra has the natural structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra, and the reduced cyclic homology has the natural structure of a gravity coalgebra. This gives an algebraic model for a Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra structure on the reduced homology of the free loop space of a simply connected closed oriented manifold, and a gravity coalgebra structure on the reduced equivariant homology.

Table of Contents

1. `Introduction.`
2. `Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and gravity algebras.`
3. `The Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra.`
4. `The gravity algebra.`
5. `The Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra.`
6. `The gravity coalgebra.`

1. **Introduction**

Let M be a simply connected closed oriented m-manifold and LM its free loop space. Felix and Thomas [6] gave a construction of the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure on the homology of LM in terms of Hochschild homology of a Poincaré duality model of M. The aim of this paper is to show that the reduced Hochschild homology, which gives the homology of LM relative to constant loops, has the structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra. As a consequence it is also shown that the reduced cyclic homology of the Poincaré duality model, which models the equivariant homology of LM relative to the constant loops, has the structure of a gravity algebra and coalgebra.

1.1. Throughout this paper, we shall work over the field of rational numbers. By $C_*(-)$ and $C^*(-)$, we mean the complex of singular chains and the complex of singular cochains. We shall grade $C^*(-)$ negatively. Applying a recent theorem of Lambrechts and Stanley [13, Theorem 1.1] to the Sullivan minimal model of M, it follows that there is a commutative differential graded (DG) algebra A such that:

- A is connected, finite dimensional, and quasi-isomorphic to the DG algebra $C^*(M)$;
- There is an A-bimodule isomorphism $A \to A^\vee$ of degree m commuting with the differential and inducing the Poincaré duality isomorphism $H^*(M) \to H_{m+*}(M)$ on homology.

Following Felix and Thomas [6], we call A a *Poincaré duality model* for M.

Let $C = A^\vee$, the dual space of A. Since A is a commutative DG algebra, C is a cocommutative DG coalgebra. The linear isomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\cong} C[m]$ induces the structure of a commutative DG algebra on C whose product is of degree $-m$. Moreover, the coproduct

$$\Delta : C \longrightarrow C\otimes C, \quad x \mapsto x'\otimes x''$$

is a map of C-bimodules. Thus, C forms a DG open Frobenius algebra in the following sense, which models the chain complex of M:

Definition 1 (DG open Frobenius algebra)**.** Let C be a chain complex over a field k. A *DG open Frobenius algebra* of degree m on C is a triple $(C, \cdot, \Delta)$ such that $(C, \cdot)$ is a DG commutative algebra whose product is of degree $-m$; (C, Δ) is a DG cocommutative coalgebra; and

$$(x\cdot y)'\otimes(x\cdot y)''=(x\cdot y')\otimes y''=(-1)^{m|x'|}x'\otimes(x''\cdot y),\qquad \text{for any } x,y\in C. \tag{1}$$

1.2. From now on, we shall denote by C a DG open Frobenius algebra of degree m with differential d, counit ε, and a coaugmentation $\mathbb{Q}\hookrightarrow C$. By the Hochschild homology $HH_*(C)$ and cyclic homology $HC_*(C)$ of C, we mean the Hochschild homology and cyclic homology of the underlying DG *coalgebra* structure of C. We recall their definitions:

Definition 2 (Hochschild homology of coalgebra)**.** The *Hochschild homology* $HH_*(C)$ of C is the homology of the normalized cocyclic cobar complex $(CC_*(C), b)$, where

$$CC_*(C)=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}C\otimes(\Sigma\overline{C})^{\otimes n},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & b(a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n]) \qquad\qquad (2)\\ :=\ & da_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n]+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|a_0|+|[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}]|}a_0[a_1|\cdots|da_i|\cdots|a_n]\\ & +\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|a_0|+|[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_i']|}a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_i'|a_i''|\cdots|a_n]\\ & +(-1)^{|a_0'|}a_0'\Big([a_0''|a_1|\cdots|a_n]-(-1)^{(|a_0''|-1)|[a_1|\cdots|a_n]|}[a_1|\cdots|a_n|a_0'']\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\overline{C}:=C/\mathbb{Q}\simeq\ker\{\varepsilon: C\to\mathbb{Q}\}$, Σ is the desuspension functor (shifting the degrees of $\overline{C}$ down by one), and we write the elements of $C\otimes(\Sigma\overline{C})^{\otimes n}$ in the form $a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n]$. One easily checks that $b^2=0$. Connes' cyclic operator on the normalized cocyclic cobar complex is given by

$$\begin{array}{rccl} B: & CC_*(C) & \longrightarrow & CC_{*+1}(C)\\ & a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n] & \longmapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|[a_i|\cdots|a_n]||[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}]|}\varepsilon(a_0)a_i[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n|a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}]. \end{array}$$

One has $B^2=0$ and $bB+Bb=0$.

Definition 3 (Cyclic homology of coalgebra)**.** The *cyclic homology* $HC_*(C)$ of C is the homology of the chain complex $CC_*(C)[u]$, where u is a parameter of degree 2, with differential $b+u^{-1}B$ defined by:

$$(b+u^{-1}B)(\alpha\otimes u^n)=\begin{cases} b\alpha\otimes u^n+B\alpha\otimes u^{n-1}, & \text{if}\quad n>0,\\ b\alpha, & \text{if}\quad n=0,\end{cases}$$

for $\alpha\in CC_*(C)$.

As in the algebra case, one has Connes' exact sequence (cf. [3, Theorem 8.3]):

$$\cdots\longrightarrow HH_*(C)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}HC_*(C)\longrightarrow HC_{*-2}(C)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{M}}HH_{*-1}(C)\longrightarrow\cdots. \tag{3}$$

We now recall the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure on the Hochschild homology of a DG open Frobenius algebra. First, let us recall the following:

Definition 4 (Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra)**.** A *Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra* is a graded commutative algebra $(V, \bullet)$ together with a linear map $\Delta : V_* \to V_{*+1}$ such that $\Delta\circ\Delta = 0$, and for all $a, b, c \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(a \bullet b \bullet c) &= \Delta(a \bullet b) \bullet c + (-1)^{|a|} a \bullet \Delta(b \bullet c) + (-1)^{(|a|-1)|b|} b \bullet \Delta(a \bullet c)\\ &\quad -(\Delta a) \bullet b \bullet c - (-1)^{|a|} a \bullet (\Delta b) \bullet c - (-1)^{|a|+|b|} a \bullet b \bullet (\Delta c).\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Now for a DG open Frobenius algebra C, define a product

$$\bullet : CC_*(C) \otimes CC_*(C) \longrightarrow CC_*(C)$$

by

$$a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n] \bullet b_0[b_1|\cdots|b_r] := (-1)^{|b_0||[a_1|\cdots|a_n]|} a_0 b_0 [a_1|\cdots|a_n|b_1|\cdots|b_r]. \tag{5}$$

Theorem 5 (Tradler)**.** *The Hochschild homology $HH_*(C)[m]$ is a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra with differential B and product $\bullet$.*

Using the maps E and M in Connes' exact sequence (3), define, for each integer $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} c_n : HC_*(C)[m-2]^{\otimes n} &\longrightarrow HC_*(C)[m-2]\\ \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n &\longmapsto (-1)^{\epsilon}\, \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{M}(\alpha_1) \bullet \cdots \bullet \mathrm{M}(\alpha_n)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon = (n-1)|\alpha_1| + (n-2)|\alpha_2| + \cdots + |\alpha_{n-1}|$. It follows from a general result (see Proposition 24) that:

Corollary 6. *The cyclic homology $(HC_*(C)[m-2], \{c_n\})$ is a gravity algebra (in the sense of the following definition).*

Definition 7 (Gravity algebra)**.** A *gravity algebra* is a graded vector space V with a sequence of graded skew-symmetric operators

$$\{x_1, \cdots, x_k\} : V^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow V, \quad k = 2, 3, \cdots$$

of degree $2-k$, such that they satisfy the following generalized Jacobi identities:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} (-1)^{\epsilon(i,j)} \{\{x_i, x_j\}, x_1, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, \hat{x}_j, \cdots, x_k, y_1, \cdots, y_l\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \{\{x_1, \cdots, x_k\}, y_1, \cdots, y_l\}, & l > 0,\\ 0, & l = 0.\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\epsilon(i,j) = (|x_1| + \cdots + |x_{i-1}|)|x_i| + (|x_1| + \cdots + |x_{j-1}|)|x_j| + |x_i||x_j|$.

1.3. In this paper, by the *reduced Hochschild homology* $\widetilde{HH}_*(C)$ of C, we mean the homology of

$$\widetilde{CC}_*(C) := CC_*(C)/C = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C \otimes (\Sigma\overline{C})^{\otimes n}.$$

By the *reduced cyclic homology* $\widetilde{HC}_*(C)$ of C, we mean the homology of

$$\widetilde{CC}_*(C)[u] = CC_*(C)[u]/C[u].$$

As above, we have $\mathrm{E} : \widetilde{HH}_*(C) \longrightarrow \widetilde{HC}_*(C)$ and $\mathrm{M} : \widetilde{HC}_*(C) \longrightarrow \widetilde{HH}_{*+1}(C)$.

Define a coproduct

$$\vee : \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \longrightarrow \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \otimes \widetilde{CC}_*(C)$$

by

$$\vee\,(a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n]) := \sum_{i=2}^{n-1}(-1)^{\epsilon(i)}\,(a_0a_i)'[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n] \tag{7}$$

where $\epsilon(i)=|a_0|+(1+|a_i|+|(a_0a_i)''|)|[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]|$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 8. *The reduced Hochschild homology $\widetilde{HH}_*(C)[1-m]$ is a Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra with differential B and coproduct $\vee$.*

Similarly to above, define $s_n:\widetilde{HC}_*(C)[2-m]\longrightarrow\widetilde{HC}_*(C)[2-m]^{\otimes n}$ by

$$s_n(\alpha):=(\mathrm{E}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathrm{E})\circ(\vee\otimes id^{\otimes n-2})\circ\cdots\circ(\vee\otimes id)\circ\vee\circ\mathrm{M}(\alpha),$$

for any $\alpha\in\widetilde{HC}_*(C)[2-m]$. We have:

Corollary 9. *The reduced cyclic homology $(\widetilde{HC}_*(C)[2-m],\{s_n\})$ is a gravity coalgebra.*

In the above two statements, the Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra and gravity coalgebra are defined as a dual version of the corresponding algebras (see Definitions 14 and 15). Note that the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra and the gravity algebra structures of $HH_*(C)$ and $HC_*(C)$ descend to $\widetilde{HH}_*(C)$ and $\widetilde{HC}_*(C)$ respectively. Thus, we obtain both Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra and coalgebra structures on $\widetilde{HH}_*(C)$, and gravity algebra and coalgebra structures on $\widetilde{HC}_*(C)$.

1.4. Let A be a Poincaré duality model for M and $C=A^\vee$. Let LM be the free loop space of M. From Jones [12], one has isomorphisms

$$H_*(LM,M)\cong\widetilde{HH}_*(C),\quad H_*^{S^1}(LM,M)\cong\widetilde{HC}_*(C).$$

Following Chas and Sullivan [2], we call $H_*(LM,M)$ the reduced homology of the free loop space, and $H_*^{S^1}(LM,M)$ the reduced equivariant homology of the free loop space. As a consequence, the choice of a Poincaré duality model for M gives the reduced homology of the free loop space the structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra, and the reduced equivariant homology of the free loop space the structure of a gravity coalgebra. In string topology, the loop product $\bullet$ was first introduced by Chas and Sullivan in [1]; see also [5]. The coproduct $\vee$ was introduced by Sullivan in [15]. The operators c_n and the operators s_n were first introduced by Chas and Sullivan in [2] and discussed further in [15]; see also [18].

Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and gravity algebras were studied by Getzler ([8, 9, 10]) in his works on topological conformal field theories (TCFT). He showed that a (genus zero) TCFT (respectively, an equivariant TCFT) with one output is the same as a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra (respectively, a gravity algebra). If we consider multiple inputs and outputs, we then obtain both Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra and coalgebra (respectively, gravity algebra and coalgebra). Our construction gives an algebraic proof that string topology is a part of a (genus zero) TCFT. We expect that the constructions above can be generalized to homotopy versions of DG open Frobenius algebras.

Remark 10. *We emphasize that Sullivan's coproduct $\vee$ is not the same as the loop coproduct introduced by Cohen and Godin in [4]; see also [11].*

Remark 11. *Theorem 5 is not new; it is well known that the Hochschild cohomology of a Frobenius algebra has the structure of a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra; see, for example, [14] and [17]. However, notice that the formulas we give above are really explicit and simple. As far as we are aware, Theorem 8 is new.*

1.5. This paper is organized as follows. We recall the definitions of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and gravity algebras in Section 2 and the proof of Theorem 5 in Section 3. We give the proof of Corollary 6 in Section 4, the proof of Theorem 8 in Section 5, and the proof of Corollary 9 in Section 6. We shall adopt Koszul's rule for signs, that is, whenever we switch two elements $a\otimes b\mapsto b\otimes a$, we put $(-1)^{|a||b|}$ in front of $b\otimes a$ and write $\pm b\otimes a$.

Acknowledgments. We thank F. Eshmatov for many discussions. W.L.G. was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0726154.

2. Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and gravity algebras

2.1. We first recall some properties of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and gravity algebras.

Lemma 12. *Let $(V,\bullet,\Delta)$ be a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. Define*

$$\{\,,\,\}:V\otimes V\longrightarrow V$$

by

$$\{a,b\}:=(-1)^{|a|}\Delta(a\bullet b)-(-1)^{|a|}(\Delta a)\bullet b-a\bullet(\Delta b).$$

Then $(V[-1],\{\,,\,\},\Delta)$ is a DG Lie algebra.

Proof. See [8, Proposition 1.2]. □

More generally, one has the following result proved by Getzler (see [9, Theorem 4.5] and [10, §3.4]).

Theorem 13. *Let $(V,\bullet,\Delta)$ be a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. Define, for $k=2,3,...,$*

$$\{\,,\cdots,\,\}:V^{\otimes k}\longrightarrow V$$

by

$$\{a_1,\cdots,a_k\}:=(-1)^{\epsilon}\big(\Delta(a_1a_2\cdots a_k)-\sum_{i=1}^{k}(-1)^{|a_1|+\cdots+|a_{i-1}|}a_1\cdots(\Delta a_i)\cdots a_k\big)$$

where $\epsilon=(k-1)|a_1|+(k-2)|a_2|+\cdots+|a_{k-1}|$. Then $V[-1]$ is a DG gravity algebra with differential Δ and brackets $\{a_1,\cdots,a_k\}$.

A DG gravity algebra is a gravity algebra with a differential commuting with all the brackets. Thus, for a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra $(V,\bullet,\Delta)$, its homology $H(V,\Delta)[-1]$ has a gravity algebra structure. Note that taking $k=3$ and $l=0$ in (6) gives the Jacobi identity. Hence, a gravity algebra has a Lie algebra structure.

2.2. Analogously, we may introduce the notion of a Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra and the notion of a gravity coalgebra.

Definition 14 (Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra)**.** A *Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra* is a graded cocommutative coalgebra $(V,\vee)$ together with a linear map $\Delta:V_*\to V_{*+1}$ such that $\Delta\circ\Delta=0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta\otimes id^{\otimes 2}+id\otimes\Delta\otimes id+id^{\otimes 2}\otimes\Delta)\circ(\vee\otimes id)\circ\vee(a)=(\tau^2+\tau+id)\circ(\vee\circ\Delta\otimes id)\circ\vee(a)\\ +(\vee\otimes id)\circ\vee\circ\Delta(a),\end{aligned}$$

for all $a\in V$, where τ is the cyclic permutation $\tau:a\otimes b\otimes c\mapsto c\otimes a\otimes b$.

Similarly to the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra case, the chain complex (V,Δ) is a DG gravity coalgebra:

Definition 15 (Gravity coalgebra)**.** A *gravity coalgebra* is a graded vector space V with a sequence of graded skew-symmetric operators

$$m_k : V \longrightarrow V^{\otimes k}, \quad k = 2,3,4,\cdots$$

of degree $2-k$, such that

$$S_{2,k-2}\circ(m_2\otimes id^{\otimes k-2})\circ m_{k-1+l} = (m_k\otimes id^{\otimes l})\circ m_{l+1} : V \longrightarrow V^{k+l}, \tag{8}$$

where the range of the mapping $(m_2\otimes id^{\otimes k-2})\circ m_{k-1+l} : V \to V^{k+l}$ is identified with $V^{\otimes 2}\otimes V^{\otimes k-2}\otimes V^{\otimes l}$ and $S_{2,k-2}$ is the shuffle product $V^{\otimes 2}\otimes V^{\otimes k-2}\to V^{\otimes k}$, and if $l=0$, we set $m_1=0$.

Theorem 16. *Let $(V,\vee,\Delta)$ be a Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra. For any $x\in V$, let*

$$\vee_k(x) := (\vee\otimes id^{\otimes k-2})\circ\cdots\circ(\vee\otimes id)\circ\vee(x) = \sum x_1\otimes x_2\otimes\cdots\otimes x_k,$$

and let

$$s_k(x) := \sum(-1)^{(k-1)|x_1|+(k-2)|x_2|+\cdots+|x_{k-1}|}\Big(\vee_k(\Delta x) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\big(id^{\otimes i}\otimes\Delta\otimes id^{\otimes k-i-1}\big)\circ\vee_k(x)\Big),$$

for $k=2,3,\cdots$. Then $V[1]$ is a DG gravity coalgebra with differential Δ and cobrackets $\{s_n\}$. In particular, $(V[1],s_2,\Delta)$ is a DG Lie coalgebra.

The proof of the theorem is completely dual to that of Theorem 13.

3. The Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra

3.1. In this section, we recall the proof of Theorem 5 from [3].

Lemma 17. *The chain complex $(CC_*(C)[m],b)$ is a DG algebra with product $\bullet$.*

Proof. The proof is by direct verification, see [3, Lemma 4.1]. □

The product $\bullet$ on $CC_*(C)[m]$ is not commutative, but homotopy commutative:

Lemma 18. *Define a bilinear operator*

$$* : CC_*(C)\otimes CC_*(C)\longrightarrow CC_*(C)$$

as follows: for $\alpha = a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n], \beta = b_0[b_1|\cdots|b_r]\in CC_*(C)$,

$$\alpha*\beta := \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|b_0|+(|\beta|-1)|[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n]|}\varepsilon(a_ib_0)a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|b_1|\cdots|b_r|a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n]. \tag{9}$$

Then

$$b(\alpha*\beta) = b\alpha*\beta + (-1)^{|\alpha|+1}\alpha*b\beta + (-1)^{|\alpha|}(\alpha\bullet\beta - (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|}\beta\bullet\alpha). \tag{10}$$

Proof. The proof is by direct verification, see [3, Lemma 5.1]. □

It follows from Lemma 17 and Lemma 18 that $(HH_*(C)[m],\bullet)$ is a graded commutative algebra.

3.2. Define the binary operator

$$\{\,,\,\}: CC_*(C)\otimes CC_*(C)\longrightarrow CC_*(C)$$

to be the commutator of $*$ above, namely

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}:=\alpha*\beta-(-1)^{(|\alpha|+1)(|\beta|+1)}\beta*\alpha,$$

for $\alpha,\beta\in CC_*(C)$.

Lemma 19. *The chain complex* $(CC_*(C)[m-1],b)$ *is a DG Lie algebra with the Lie bracket* $\{\,,\,\}$.

Proof. The proof is by direct verification, see [3, Lemma 5.4] and [3, Corollary 5.5]. □

In particular $HH_*(C)[m-1]$ is a graded Lie algebra. Moreover, $\bullet$ and $\{\,,\,\}$ are compatible in the following sense, which makes $HH_*(C)[m]$ into a Gerstenhaber algebra [7]:

Definition 20 (Gerstenhaber algebra)**.** Let V be a graded vector space. A *Gerstenhaber algebra* on V is a triple $(V,\cdot,\{\,,\,\})$ such that

(i) $(V,\cdot)$ is a graded commutative algebra;
(ii) $(V,\{\,,\,\})$ is a graded Lie algebra whose Lie bracket is of degree 1;
(iii) for any $\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in V$, one has:

$$\{\alpha\bullet\beta,\gamma\}=\alpha\bullet\{\beta,\gamma\}+(-1)^{|\beta|(|\gamma|+1)}\{\alpha,\gamma\}\bullet\beta. \tag{11}$$

Theorem 21. *The Hochschild homology* $HH_*(C)[m]$ *is a Gerstenhaber algebra, with product* $\bullet$ *and bracket* $\{\,,\,\}$.

Proof. From above, $HH_*(C)[m]$ is both a graded commutative algebra and a degree one graded Lie algebra. Equation (11) is immediate from the following Lemma 22. □

Lemma 22. *For any* $\alpha=a_0[a_1|...|a_n],\beta=b_0[b_1|...|b_r],\gamma=c_0[c_1|...|c_l]\in CC_*(C)$, *one has:*

(i) $(\alpha\bullet\beta)*\gamma=\alpha\bullet(\beta*\gamma)+(-1)^{|\beta|(|\gamma|+1)}(\alpha*\gamma)\bullet\beta$;
(ii) $\gamma*(\alpha\bullet\beta)-(\gamma*\alpha)\bullet\beta-(-1)^{|\alpha|(|\gamma|+1)}\alpha\bullet(\gamma*\beta)=(b\circ h-h\circ b)(\alpha\otimes\beta\otimes\gamma)$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned}&h(\alpha\otimes\beta\otimes\gamma)\\ &:=\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{\epsilon}\varepsilon(c_ia_0)\varepsilon(c_jb_0)c_0[c_1|\cdots|c_{i-1}|a_1|\cdots|a_n|c_{i+1}|\cdots|c_{j-1}|b_1|\cdots|b_r|c_{j+1}|\cdots|c_l],\\ &\epsilon=(|\alpha|-1)|[c_{i+1}|\cdots|c_n]|+(|\beta|-1)|[c_{j+1}|\cdots|c_n]|.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is by direct verification, see [3, Lemma 5.8]. □

3.3. Theorem 5 follows from [8, Proposition 1.2], Theorem 21, and the following:

Lemma 23. *For any* $\alpha,\beta\in HH_*(C)[m]$, *one has*

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}=(-1)^{|\alpha|}B(\alpha\bullet\beta)-(-1)^{|\alpha|}B(\alpha)\bullet b-\alpha\bullet B(\beta). \tag{12}$$

More precisely, for $\alpha=x[a_1|\cdots|a_n],\beta=y[b_1|\cdots|b_r]\in CC_*(C)$, *define*

$$\phi(\alpha,\beta):=\sum_{i<j}\pm\varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(a_jy)a_i[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}|b_1|\cdots|b_r|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n|a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}]$$

and

$$\psi(\alpha,\beta):=\sum_{k<l}\pm\varepsilon(y)\varepsilon(b_lx)b_k[b_{k+1}|\cdots|b_{l-1}|a_1|\cdots|a_n|b_{l+1}|\cdots|b_r|b_1|\cdots|b_{k-1}],$$

and let $h:=\phi+\psi$. *Then*

$$(b\circ h+h\circ b)(\alpha\otimes\beta)=\{\alpha,\beta\}-(-1)^{|\alpha|}B(\alpha\bullet\beta)-(-1)^{(|\beta|+1)(|a|+1)}\beta\bullet B(\alpha)+\alpha\bullet B(\beta). \tag{13}$$

Proof. The proof is by a direct verification, see [3, Lemma 7.3]. ☐

4. **The gravity algebra**

4.1. We define the complex $(CC_*(C)[u,u^{-1}], b+u^{-1}B)$ by

$$(b+u^{-1}B)(\alpha\otimes u^n) = b\alpha\otimes u^n + B\alpha\otimes u^{n-1}, \quad \text{for all } n.$$

The complex $(CC_*(C)[u], b+u^{-1}B)$ in Definition 3 is the quotient of $(CC_*(C)[u,u^{-1}], b+u^{-1}B)$ by its subcomplex $CC_*(C)[u^{-1}]u^{-1}$. The short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & CC_*(C) \arrow[r] & CC_*(C)[u] \arrow[r, "u^{-1}"] & CC_*(C)[u] \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

induces the long exact sequence (3). By diagram chasing, one can see that

$$\mathrm{M}\circ\mathrm{E} = B : HH_*(C) \longrightarrow HH_{*+1}(C).$$

4.2. Corollary 6 is immediate from Theorem 5 and the following general result (see [3, Theorem 8.5]):

Proposition 24. *Let $(V, \bullet, \Delta)$ be a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra, and W be a graded vector space. Let $\mathrm{E} : V_* \to W_*$ and $\mathrm{M} : W_* \to V_{*+1}$ be two maps such that $\mathrm{E}\circ\mathrm{M} = 0$ and $\mathrm{M}\circ\mathrm{E} = \Delta$. Then $(W[-2], \{c_n\})$ is a gravity algebra, where*

$$c_n(\alpha_1 \otimes\cdots\otimes \alpha_n) := (-1)^{(n-1)|\alpha_1|+(n-2)|\alpha_2|+\cdots+|\alpha_{n-1}|}\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{M}(\alpha_1)\bullet\cdots\bullet\mathrm{M}(\alpha_n)).$$

Proof. It follows from (4), by induction on n, that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_1\bullet x_2\bullet\cdots\bullet x_n) &= \sum_{i<j} \pm\Delta(x_i\bullet x_j)\bullet x_1\bullet\cdots\bullet\widehat{x_i}\bullet\cdot\bullet\widehat{x_j}\bullet\cdots\bullet x_n \\ &\quad +(n-2)\sum_i \pm x_1\bullet\cdots\bullet\Delta x_i\bullet\cdots\bullet x_n. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Now let $x_i = \mathrm{M}(\alpha_i)$, and apply E to both sides of the above equality; we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{E}\circ\Delta(\mathrm{M}(\alpha_1)\bullet\mathrm{M}(\alpha_2)\bullet\cdots\bullet\mathrm{M}(\alpha_n)) \\ = &\sum_{i<j}\pm\mathrm{E}\circ\Big(\Delta(\mathrm{M}(\alpha_i)\bullet\mathrm{M}(\alpha_j))\bullet\mathrm{M}(\alpha_1)\bullet\cdots\bullet\widehat{\mathrm{M}(\alpha_i)}\bullet\cdots\bullet\widehat{\mathrm{M}(\alpha_j)}\bullet\cdots\bullet\mathrm{M}(\alpha_n)\Big) \\ &+(n-2)\sum_i \pm\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{M}(\alpha_1)\bullet\cdots\bullet\Delta\circ\mathrm{M}(\alpha_i)\bullet\cdots\bullet\mathrm{M}(\alpha_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{E}\circ\Delta = \mathrm{E}\circ\mathrm{M}\circ\mathrm{E} = 0$ and $\Delta\circ\mathrm{M} = \mathrm{M}\circ\mathrm{E}\circ\mathrm{M} = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} \pm c_{n-1}(c_2(\alpha_i\otimes\alpha_j)\otimes\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\widehat{\alpha_i}\otimes\cdots\otimes\widehat{\alpha_j}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_n) = 0.$$

Similarly, by multiplying $y_1\bullet\cdots\bullet y_l$ on both sides of (14), letting $y_j = \mathrm{M}(\beta_j)$, and then applying E on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} \pm c_{n+l-1}(c_2(\alpha_i\otimes\alpha_j)\otimes\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\widehat{\alpha_i}\otimes\cdots\otimes\widehat{\alpha_j}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_n\otimes\beta_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\beta_l) \\ = \;& c_{l+1}(c_n(\alpha_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha_n)\otimes\beta_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\beta_l), \end{aligned}$$

for $l > 0$. This proves the proposition. ☐

5. **The Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra**

5.1. The proof of Theorem 8 is similar to the proof of Theorem 5. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 25. *The chain complex* $(\widetilde{CC}_*(C)[1-m], b)$ *is a DG coalgebra with coproduct* $\vee$.

Proof. It is clear that $\vee$ is coassociative. Therefore we only need to check that b is a derivation with respect to $\vee$. Observe that the expressions $b\circ\vee(\alpha)$ and $\vee\circ b(\alpha)$ have two parts, one contains those terms involving the differentials of the entries in α (which we call the *differential part*), the other contains those terms involving the coproducts of the entries in α (which we call the *diagonal part*). It follows directly from the definition of $\vee$ that the differential parts of $b\circ\vee(\alpha)$ and $\vee\circ b(\alpha)$ are equal. For the diagonal parts, omitting the signs determined by Koszul sign rule from our notation, we have

$$b\circ\vee(a_0[a_1|...|a_n]) \tag{15}$$

$$= b\Big(\sum_{1<i<n}(a_0a_i)'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\Big)\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{16}$$

$$\pm \sum_{1<i<n}(a_0a_i)'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes b\Big((a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n]\Big) \tag{17}$$

$$= \sum_{1\le j<i<n}\pm(a_0a_i)'[a_1|...|a_j'|a_j''|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{18}$$

$$+ \sum_{1<i<n}\pm((a_0a_i)')'[((a_0a_i)')''|a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{19}$$

$$- \sum_{1<i<n}\pm((a_0a_i)')'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}|((a_0a_i)')'']\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{20}$$

$$+ \sum_{1<i<j\le n}\pm(a_0a_i)'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_j'|a_j''|...|a_n] \tag{21}$$

$$+ \sum_{1<i<n}\pm(a_0a_i)'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes((a_0a_i)'')'[((a_0a_i)'')''|a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{22}$$

$$- \sum_{1<i<n}\pm(a_0a_i)'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes((a_0a_i)'')'[a_{i+1}|...|a_n|((a_0a_i)'')''], \tag{23}$$

while

$$\vee\circ b(a_0[a_1|...|a_n]) \tag{24}$$

$$= \sum_{1\le j<i<n}\pm(a_0a_i)'[a_1|...|a_j'|a_j''|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{25}$$

$$+ \sum_{1<i<j\le n}\pm(a_0a_i)'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_j'|a_j''|...|a_n] \tag{26}$$

$$+ \sum_{1<i<n}\pm(a_0a_i')'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i')''[a_i''|a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{27}$$

$$+ \sum\pm(a_0a_n')'[a_1|...|a_{n-1}]\otimes(a_0a_n')''[a_n''] \tag{28}$$

$$+ \sum\pm(a_0a_1'')'[a_1']\otimes(a_0a_1'')''[a_2|...|a_n] \tag{29}$$

$$+ \sum_{1<i<n} \pm(a_0a_i'')'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}|a_i']\otimes(a_0a_i'')''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{30}$$

$$+ \sum \pm(a_0'a_1)'[a_0'']\otimes(a_0'a_1)''[a_2|...|a_n] \tag{31}$$

$$+ \sum_{1<i<n} \pm(a_0'a_i)'[a_0''|a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n] \tag{32}$$

$$- \sum_{1<i<n} \pm(a_0'a_i)'[a_1|...|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|...|a_n|a_0''] \tag{33}$$

$$- \sum \pm(a_0'a_n)'[a_1|...|a_{n-1}]\otimes(a_0'a_n)''[a_0''] \quad . \tag{34}$$

Keeping (1) in mind, we see that (18) and (25) cancel, so do (19) and (32), (20) and (30), (21) and (26), (22) and (27), (23) and (33). Hence, (15) = (24). ☐

5.2. Define the permutations τ and σ by

$$\tau : \widetilde{CC}_*(C)\otimes\widetilde{CC}_*(C) \to \widetilde{CC}_*(C)\otimes\widetilde{CC}_*(C)$$
$$\alpha_1\otimes\alpha_2 \mapsto \pm\alpha_2\otimes\alpha_1$$

and

$$\sigma : \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \otimes \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \otimes \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \to \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \otimes \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \otimes \widetilde{CC}_*(C)$$
$$\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_3 \mapsto \pm\alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_3 \otimes \alpha_1.$$

The following lemma says that $\vee$ is cocommutative up to homotopy, and so $(\widetilde{HH}_*(C)[1-m], \vee)$ is a graded cocommutative, coassociative coalgebra.

Lemma 26. *Let* $h : \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \longrightarrow \widetilde{CC}_*(C) \otimes \widetilde{CC}_*(C)$ *be defined by*

$$h(\alpha) := \sum_{i<j} \pm a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n] \otimes a_ia_j[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}],$$

for any $\alpha = a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n] \in \widetilde{CC}_*(C)$. *Then*

$$b \circ h(\alpha) + h \circ b(\alpha) = \tau \circ \vee(\alpha) - \vee(\alpha). \tag{35}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the differential parts of the left hand side of (35) cancel each other, so we only need to consider the diagonal parts. In fact, the diagonal parts of $h(b\alpha)$ are equal to

$$\sum a_0'[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n] \otimes (a_0''a_i)[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}] \tag{36}$$

$$\pm \sum a_0'[a_0''|a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n] \otimes (a_ia_j)[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}] \tag{37}$$

$$\mp \sum a_0'[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}] \otimes (a_ia_0'')[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n] \tag{38}$$

$$\mp \sum a_0'[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n|a_0''] \otimes (a_ia_j)[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}] \tag{39}$$

$$\pm \sum a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_k'|a_k''|\cdots|a_n] \otimes (a_ia_j)[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}] \tag{40}$$

$$\pm \sum a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n] \otimes (a_ia_j)[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_k'|a_k''|\cdots|a_{j-1}] \tag{41}$$

$$\pm \sum a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n] \otimes (a_ia_j)'[(a_ia_j)''|a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}] \tag{42}$$

$$\mp \sum a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n] \otimes (a_ia_j)'[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}|(a_ia_j)''], \tag{43}$$

and (37)+(39)+(40) +(41)+(42)+(43) is exactly $b(h\alpha)$, while the remaining terms (36)+(38) are exactly $\tau\circ\vee(\alpha)-\vee(\alpha)$. The lemma is proved. ☐

Lemma 27. *Let h be as in Lemma 26. Define $S:\widetilde{\widetilde{CC}}_*(C)\longrightarrow\widetilde{\widetilde{CC}}_*(C)\otimes\widetilde{\widetilde{CC}}_*(C)$ by*

$$S(\alpha):=h(\alpha)-\tau\circ h(\alpha),\quad \textit{for any } \alpha\in\widetilde{\widetilde{CC}}_*(C).$$

Then the chain complex $(\widetilde{\widetilde{CC}}_*(C)[2-m],b)$ is a DG Lie coalgebra with the cobracket S.

Proof. It follows from the definition that S is skew-symmetric. Moreover, b commutes with S by (35). Now, for any $\alpha=a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(h\otimes 1)h(\alpha)-(1\otimes h)h(\alpha)\\
=&\sum_{k<l<i<j}\pm a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{k-1}|a_{l+1}|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n]\otimes a_ka_l[a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_{l-1}]\otimes a_ia_j[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}]\\
+&\sum_{i<j<k<l}\pm a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_{k-1}|a_{l+1}|\cdots|a_n]\otimes a_ka_l[a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_{l-1}]\otimes a_ia_j[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}]\\
=&(1\otimes\tau)((h\otimes 1)h(\alpha)-(1\otimes h)h(\alpha)).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(1+\sigma+\sigma^2)(S\otimes 1)S=(1+\sigma+\sigma^2)\Big((h\otimes 1)h-(1\otimes h)h-(1\otimes\tau)\big((h\otimes 1)h-(1\otimes h)h\big)\Big)=0,$$

so the co-Jacobi identity holds. ☐

It follows that $(\widetilde{\widetilde{HH}}_*(C)[2-m],S)$ is a graded Lie coalgebra. The Lie cobracket S and the cocommutative coproduct $\vee$ are compatible in the following sense:

Definition 28 (Gerstenhaber coalgebra)**.** Let V be a graded vector space. A *Gerstenhaber coalgebra* on V is a triple $(V,\vee,S)$ which satisfies the following:

(i) $(V,\vee)$ is a graded cocommutative coalgebra;
(ii) (V,S) is a graded Lie coalgebra whose Lie cobracket is of degree 1;
(iii) $S:V\to V\otimes V$ is a coderivation with respect to $\vee$, i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r, "\vee"] \arrow[d, "S"'] & V\otimes V \arrow[d, "(id\otimes\tau)\circ(S\otimes id)+id\otimes S"] \\
V\otimes V \arrow[r, "\vee\otimes id"] & V\otimes V\otimes V
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 29. *The reduced Hochschild homology $(\widetilde{\widetilde{HH}}_*(C)[1-m],\vee,S)$ is a Gerstenhaber coalgebra.*

Proof. From the definition of h, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{CC} \arrow[r, "\vee"] \arrow[d, "h"'] & \widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC} \arrow[d, "(id\otimes\tau)\circ(h\otimes id)+id\otimes h"] \\
\widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC} \arrow[r, "\vee\otimes id"] & \widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We next show that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{CC} \arrow[r, "\vee"] \arrow[d, "\tau\circ h"'] & \widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC} \arrow[d, "(id\otimes\tau)\circ(\tau\circ h\otimes id)+id\otimes\tau\circ h"] \\
\widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC} \arrow[r, "\vee\otimes id"] & \widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC},
\end{tikzcd} \tag{44}$$

and therefore, from $S = h - \tau\circ h$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{HH} \arrow[r, "\vee"] \arrow[d, "S"'] & \widetilde{HH}\otimes\widetilde{HH} \arrow[d, "(id\otimes\tau)\circ(S\otimes id)+id\otimes S"] \\
\widetilde{HH}\otimes\widetilde{HH} \arrow[r, "\vee\otimes id"] & \widetilde{HH}\otimes\widetilde{HH}\otimes\widetilde{HH}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

To this end, let $\psi : \widetilde{CC} \longrightarrow \widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC}\otimes\widetilde{CC}$ be the map defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\alpha) \quad := \quad & \sum_{i<j<k<l} \pm a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_{k-1}|a_{l+1}|\cdots|a_n] \\ & \qquad\qquad \otimes a_ia_j[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}]\otimes a_ka_l[a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_{l-1}],\end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha = a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n]$. Let $\phi := \sigma\circ\psi$. Then

$$(b\circ\phi+\phi\circ b)(\alpha) = \big((\vee\otimes id)\circ(\tau\circ h) - ((id\otimes\tau)\circ(\tau\circ h\otimes id)+id\otimes\tau\circ h)\circ\vee\big)(\alpha), \tag{45}$$

for any $\alpha\in\widetilde{CC}$. Indeed, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi\circ b(\alpha) \\
= \quad & -b\circ\psi(\alpha) \\
+ \quad & \sum_{i<j<k} \pm(a_0a_i)'[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}|a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_n]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_ja_k)[a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_{k-1}] && (46)\\
+ \quad & \sum_{j<i<k} \pm a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{j-1}|a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_n]\otimes(a_ja_ka_i)'[a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_ja_ka_i)''[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{k-1}] && (47)\\
+ \quad & \sum_{j<k<i} \pm(a_0a_i)'[a_1|\cdots|a_{j-1}|a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_{i-1}]\otimes(a_ja_k)[a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_{k-1}]\otimes(a_0a_i)''[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n]. && (48)
\end{aligned}$$

After applying σ, (46) becomes $(id\otimes\tau\circ h)\circ\vee(\alpha)$, (47) becomes $(\vee\otimes id)\circ(\tau\circ h)(\alpha)$, and (48) becomes $(id\otimes\tau)\circ(\tau\circ h\otimes id)\circ\vee(\alpha)$. This proves the identity (45), and hence (44) is proved. ☐

5.3. Theorem 8 follows from the dual version of [8, Proposition 1.2], Theorem 29, and the following lemma.

Lemma 30. *For any* $\alpha = a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n]\in\widetilde{CC}_*(C)$, *let*

$$\phi(\alpha) \quad := \quad \sum_{i<j<k} \pm\varepsilon(a_0)a_i[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}|a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_n|a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}]\otimes a_ja_k[a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_{k-1}], \tag{49}$$

$$\psi(\alpha) \quad := \quad \sum_{j<k<i} \pm\varepsilon(a_0)a_ja_k[a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_{k-1}]\otimes a_i[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n|a_1|\cdots|a_{j-1}|a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_{i-1}], \tag{50}$$

and let $\theta = \phi+\psi$. *Then*

$$b\circ\theta+\theta\circ b = \vee\circ B - B\circ\vee - S,$$

where S *is as defined in Lemma 27.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 23. For any $\alpha = a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_n]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\vee \circ B(\alpha) &= \sum_{i>j} \pm\varepsilon(a_0)(a_ia_j)'[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n|a_1|\cdots|a_{j-1}] \otimes (a_ia_j)''[a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_{i-1}] && (51)\\
&\quad + \sum_{i<j} \pm\varepsilon(a_0)(a_ia_j)'[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}] \otimes (a_ia_j)''[a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n|a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}], && (52)\\
B \circ \vee(\alpha) &= \sum_{i>k} \pm a_k[a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_1|\cdots|a_{k-1}] \otimes a_0a_i[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_n] && (53)\\
&\quad + \sum_{i<k} \pm a_0a_i[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}] \otimes a_k[a_{k+1}|\cdots|a_n|a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{k-1}], && (54)\\
S(\alpha) &= \sum_{i<j} \pm a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n] \otimes a_ia_j[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}] && (55)\\
&\quad + \sum_{i<j} \pm a_ia_j[a_{i+1}|\cdots|a_{j-1}] \otimes a_0[a_1|\cdots|a_{i-1}|a_{j+1}|\cdots|a_n]. && (56)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\phi \circ b(\alpha) = -b \circ \phi(\alpha) + (51) + (53) - (55),$$

while

$$\psi \circ b(\alpha) = -b \circ \psi(\alpha) + (52) + (54) - (56).$$

This proves the lemma. □

6. **The gravity coalgebra**

6.1. Corollary 9 is immediate from Theorem 8 and the following result.

Proposition 31. *Let* $(V, \vee, \Delta)$ *be a Batalin-Vilkovisky coalgebra, and* W *be a graded vector space. Let* $\mathrm{E} : V_* \to W_*$ *and* $\mathrm{M} : W_* \to V_{*+1}$ *be two maps such that* $\mathrm{E} \circ \mathrm{M} = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{M} \circ \mathrm{E} = \Delta$. *Define* $s_n : W \longrightarrow W^{\otimes n}$ $(n \geq 2)$ *by*

$$s_n(\alpha) := (\mathrm{E} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{E}) \circ (\vee \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}) \circ \cdots \circ \vee \circ \mathrm{M}(\alpha),$$

for any $\alpha \in W$. *Then* $(W[1], \{s_n\})$ *is a gravity coalgebra.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 24. By induction on n, we deduce from the identity in Definition 14 that

$$\vee_n \circ \Delta(x) - (n-2)(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id^{\otimes i} \otimes \Delta \otimes id^{\otimes n-i-1}) \circ \vee_n(x) = S_{2,n-2} \circ (\vee \circ \Delta \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}) \circ \vee_{n-1}(x), \quad (57)$$

for all $x \in V$, where we set $\vee_n := (\vee \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}) \circ \cdots \circ \vee : V \to V^{\otimes n}$ as before.

Let $x = \mathrm{M}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha \in W$. Applying $\mathrm{E}^{\otimes n}$ to both sides of (57), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{E}^{\otimes n} \circ \Big(\vee_n \circ \Delta(\mathrm{M}(\alpha)) - (n-2) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id^{\otimes i} \otimes \Delta \otimes id^{\otimes n-i-1}) \circ \vee_n(\mathrm{M}(\alpha))\Big)\\
= \;& \mathrm{E}^{\otimes n} \circ S_{2,n-2} \circ (\vee \circ \Delta \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}) \circ \vee_{n-1}(\mathrm{M}(\alpha)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the left hand side vanishes since $\Delta = \mathrm{M} \circ \mathrm{E}$ and $\mathrm{E} \circ \mathrm{M} = 0$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathrm{E}^{\otimes n} \circ S_{2,n-2} \circ (\vee \circ \Delta \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}) \circ \vee_{n-1}(\mathrm{M}(\alpha))\\
&= \mathrm{E}^{\otimes n} \circ S_{2,n-2} \circ (\vee \circ \mathrm{M} \circ \mathrm{E} \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}) \circ \vee_{n-1}(\mathrm{M}(\alpha))\\
&= S_{2,n-2} \circ (\mathrm{E}^{\otimes 2} \circ \vee \circ (\mathrm{M} \circ \mathrm{E}) \otimes \mathrm{E}^{\otimes n-2}) \circ \vee_{n-1}(\mathrm{M}(\alpha))
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad S_{2,n-2} \circ (s_2 \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}) \circ s_{n-1}(\alpha).$$

This proves the identity (8) in the definition of a gravity coalgebra for the case $l = 0$.

Now let $l > 0$. Let $x = \mathrm{M}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha \in W$ and suppose

$$\vee_{l+1}(x) = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{l+1}.$$

Applying the identity (57) to the first component on both sides, by the same argument as above, we obtain:

$$S_{2,n-2} \circ (s_2 \otimes id^{\otimes n-2}) \circ s_{n-1+l}(\alpha) = (s_n \otimes id^{\otimes l}) \circ s_{l+1}(\alpha).$$

This proves the identity (8) for the case $l > 0$. □

References

[1] Chas, M. and Sullivan, D., *String topology,* arXiv:math-gt/9911159.

[2] Chas, M. and Sullivan, D., *Closed string operators in topology leading to Lie bialgebras and higher string algebra,* in *The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel*, 771-784, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[3] Chen, X., *An algebraic chain model of string topology*, arXiv:0708.1197v3.

[4] Cohen, R. and Godin, V., *A polarized view of string topology*, in *Topology, Geometry, and Quantum Field theory*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Vol. 308 (2004), 127-154.

[5] Cohen, R. and Jones, J.D.S., *A homotopy theoretic realization of string topology.* Math. Ann. 324 (2002), 773-798.

[6] Felix, Y. and Thomas, J.-C., *Rational BV-algebra in String Topology*, arXiv:0705.4194.

[7] Gerstenhaber, M., *The Cohomology Structure of an Associative Ring*, Ann. of Math, 78 (1963), 267-288.

[8] Getzler, E., *Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and two-dimensional topological field theories.* Comm. Math. Phys. 159 (1994), no. 2, 265-285.

[9] Getzler, E.,*Two-dimensional topological gravity and equivariant cohomology.* Comm. Math. Phys. 163 (1994), 473-489.

[10] Getzler, E. *Operads and moduli spaces of genus* 0 *Riemann surfaces,* in *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994),* 199-230, Progr. Math., 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.

[11] Godin, V., *Higher string topology operations*, arXiv:0711.4859.

[12] Jones, J.D.S., *Cyclic homology and equivariant homology.* Invent. Math. 87 (1987), 403-423.

[13] Lambrechts, P. and Stanley, D., *Poincare duality and commutative differential graded algebras.* To appear in Ann. Sci. ENS, arXiv:math/0701309.

[14] Menichi, L., *Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras.* K-Theory 32 (2004), no. 3, 231-251.

[15] Sullivan, D., *Open and closed string field theory interpreted in classical algebraic topology,* in *Topology, geometry and quantum field theory,* 344-357, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 308, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[16] Sullivan, D., *String Topology: Background and Present State*, arXiv:0710.4141.

[17] Tradler, T., *The BV Algebra on Hochschild Cohomology Induced by Infinity Inner Products*, arXiv:math/0210150.

[18] Westerland, C., *Equivariant operads, string topology, and Tate cohomology*, Math. Ann. 340 (2008), no. 1, 97–142.

X.C.: Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA;
`xch@umich.edu`

W.L.G.: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA;
`wlgan@math.ucr.edu`